



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,816	02/19/2002	Mikito Nishii	111970	2904

7590 03/18/2004
Oliff & Berridge
PO Box 19928
Alexandria, VA 22320

EXAMINER

HARDEE, JOHN R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1751

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/049,816

Applicant(s)

NISHII ET AL.

Examiner

John R. Hardee

Art Unit

1751

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15, 17, 19 and 29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 16 and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 15, 17, 19 and 20 are withdrawn from consideration by the examiner as being drawn to inventions non-elected with traverse. Applicant's traversal of the restriction requirement is predicated on the allowability of the elected invention. Should an allowable base claim arise, one method commensurate in scope with the base claim will be considered prior to final rejection. The restriction requirement is made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1-11, 13, 14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 62-045,681 A. See abstract, which discloses a mixture of 5 parts by weight of silicone oil, 95 pbw of ethylene glycol, 3 pbw of triethanolamine, 0.1 pbw of benzotriazole, 0.8 pbw of phosphoric acid and colorant. This is diluted with water to an ethylene glycol concentration of 30%. The Office does not have the facilities to determine conductivities, but the ionic concentration appears to be small enough and the glycol concentration large enough to meet applicant's limitations. A cooling cycle of the disclosed coolant and nitrogen can be fairly inferred, as cooling of an engine is the intended purpose of the composition. Silicone oil and ethylene glycol are nonionic. Claim 18 is drawn to intended use, and the product by process limitations of claims 13 and 14 are held to be met in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Art Unit: 1751

4. Claims 1-6, 8-14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SU 899,635 B (abstract). See the disclosed composition. The Office does not have the facilities to determine conductivities, but the ionic concentration appears to be small enough at the low end of the concentration ranges to meet applicant's limitations. Claim 18 is drawn to intended use, and the product by process limitations of claims 13 and 14 are held to be met in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

5. Claims 1-6, 8-14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 98/40441. The reference is in Japanese. See the composition disclosed at col. 5, lines 45+ of related US 6,083,311. The Office does not have the facilities to determine conductivities, but the ionic concentration appears to be small enough at the low end of the concentration ranges to meet applicant's limitations. Claim 18 is drawn to intended use, and the product by process limitations of claims 13 and 14 are held to be met in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

6. This office action contains new grounds of rejection which were not motivated by applicant's amendment. Accordingly, this action is NOT FINAL.

7. Any prior art made of record and not relied upon is of interest and is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his

Art Unit: 1751

supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (571) 272-1316.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



John R. Hardee
Primary Examiner
March 5, 2004